

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 NOUANSAVANH RASAVONG, }
8 Plaintiff, }
9 v. }
10 J. RUIZ et al., }
11 Defendants. }
12 _____)

2:16-cv-01121-JAD-GWF
ORDER

13 **I. DISCUSSION**

14 On January 4, 2017, this Court issued a screening order and stayed the case for ninety
15 (90) days to permit the case to proceed to mediation. (ECF No. 8). On January 10, 2017,
16 Plaintiff filed an amended complaint. (ECF No. 11). On January 17, 2017, the Court issued
17 an order directing Plaintiff to indicate whether Plaintiff wished to proceed to mediation on his
18 original complaint or to have the Court screen his amended complaint. (ECF No. 12 at 2). On
19 January 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice stating that he wished to proceed on his amended
20 complaint and to strike his original complaint. (ECF No. 15 at 1). Plaintiff acknowledged that
21 the screening process would start anew with his amended complaint. (*Id.*) On January 25,
22 2017, Defendants entered a notice of limited appearance for the purposes of settlement
23 discussions. (ECF No. 14).

24 In light of Plaintiff's notice, the amended complaint (ECF No. 11) is now the operative
25 complaint. The Court will issue a screening order on the amended complaint in a separate
26 order in the future. No further action is required by Defendants in this case until further order
27 by this Court.

28 ///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the Court will screen the amended
3 complaint (ECF No. 11) in a separate order.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no further action is required by Defendants in this case
5 until further order by this Court.

6

7 DATED: This 7th day of February, 2017.

8

9 
10 United States Magistrate Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28